

ICCHMT 2011, Istanbul, Turkey

Francisco J. Blanco– Rodríguez, J. I. Ramos

Introduction

Formulation

Results and discussion

Numerical results of the two-dimensional model

Comparisons with results of one-dimensional model

Conclusions

About the authors...

### TWO–DIMENSIONAL ANALYSIS OF THE CRYSTALLIZATION OF HOLLOW COMPOUND PLASTIC FIBERS

### Francisco J. Blanco-Rodríguez, J. I. Ramos

Escuela de Ingenierías, Doctor Ortiz Ramos, s/n, Universidad de Málaga, 29071 Málaga, Spain

7<sup>th</sup> International Conference on Computational Heat and Mass Transfer 18–22 July 2011, Istanbul, Turkey



ICCHMT 2011, Istanbul, Turkey

Francisco J. Blanco– Rodríguez, J. I. Ramos

### Introduction

### Formulation

#### Introduction

Formulation

Results and discussion

Numerical results of the two-dimensional model

Comparisons with results of one-dimensional model

Conclusions

About the authors...

### Results and discussion

- Numerical results of the two-dimensional model
- Comparisons with results of one-dimensional model



ICCHMT 2011, Istanbul, Turkey

Francisco J. Blanco– Rodríguez, J. I. Ramos

### 2 Formulation

#### Introduction

Formulation

Results and discussion

Numerical results of the two-dimensional model

Comparisons with results of one-dimensional model

Conclusions

About the authors...

### Introduction

1 officiation

### Results and discussion

- Numerical results of the two-dimensional model
- Comparisons with results of one-dimensional model



ICCHMT 2011, Istanbul, Turkey

Francisco J. Blanco– Rodríguez, J. I. Ramos

### Introduction

2 Formulation

Introduction

Formulation

Results and discussion

Numerical results of the two-dimensional model

Comparisons with results of one-dimensional model

Conclusions

About the authors...

### 3 Results and discussion

- Numerical results of the two-dimensional model
- Comparisons with results of one-dimensional model



ICCHMT 2011, Istanbul, Turkey

Francisco J. Blanco– Rodríguez, J. I. Ramos

### Introduction

2 Formulation

#### Introduction

Formulation

Results and discussion

Numerical results of the two-dimensional model

Comparisons with results of one-dimensional model

Conclusions

About the authors...

### 3 Results and discussion

- Numerical results of the two-dimensional model
- Comparisons with results of one-dimensional model



ICCHMT 2011, Istanbul, Turkey

Francisco J. Blanco– Rodríguez, J. I. Ramos

#### Introduction

Formulation

Results and discussion

Numerical results of the two-dimensional model

Comparisons with results of one-dimensional model

Conclusions

About the authors...

# INTRODUCTION

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □



ICCHMT 2011, Istanbul, Turkey

Francisco J. Blanco– Rodríguez, J. I. Ramos

#### Introduction

Formulation

Results and discussion

Numerical results of the two-dimensional model

Comparisons with results of one-dimensional model

Conclusions

About the authors...

• Bi-component hollow compound fibers may be manufactured by MELT SPINNING processes.

- Applications
  - Chemical industry: Filtration and separation processes.
  - ② Textile industry.
  - I Optics: Data transmission.
  - Biomedical industry.
- Necessary: modelling of the drawing process of semi-crystalline hollow compound fibers.
- Previous studies are based on one-dimensional models of amorphous, slender fibers at very low *Re* and *Bi* numbers.
- NO INFORMATION ABOUT TEMPERATURE NON-UNIFORMITIES IN THE RADIAL DIRECTION.
- Use of a two-dimensional model for fiber spinning.



ICCHMT 2011, Istanbul, Turkey

Francisco J. Blanco– Rodríguez, J. I. Ramos

#### Introduction

Formulation

Results and discussion

Numerical results of the two-dimensional model

Comparisons with results of one-dimensional model

Conclusions

- Bi-component hollow compound fibers may be manufactured by MELT SPINNING processes.
- Applications
  - Chemical industry: Filtration and separation processes.
  - **2** Textile industry.
  - 3 Optics: Data transmission.
  - ④ Biomedical industry.
- Necessary: modelling of the drawing process of semi-crystalline hollow compound fibers.
- Previous studies are based on one-dimensional models of amorphous, slender fibers at very low *Re* and *Bi* numbers.
- NO INFORMATION ABOUT TEMPERATURE NON-UNIFORMITIES IN THE RADIAL DIRECTION.
- Use of a two-dimensional model for fiber spinning.



ICCHMT 2011, Istanbul, Turkey

Francisco J. Blanco– Rodríguez, J. I. Ramos

#### Introduction

Formulation

- Results and discussion
- Numerical results of the two-dimensional model
- Comparisons with results of one-dimensional model

Conclusions

About the authors...

- Bi-component hollow compound fibers may be manufactured by MELT SPINNING processes.
- Applications

# Chemical industry: Filtration and separation processes.

- **2** Textile industry.
- 3 Optics: Data transmission.
- ④ Biomedical industry.



- Necessary: modelling of the drawing process of semi-crystalline hollow compound fibers.
- Previous studies are based on one-dimensional models of amorphous, slender fibers at very low *Re* and *Bi* numbers.
- NO INFORMATION ABOUT TEMPERATURE NON-UNIFORMITIES IN THE RADIAL DIRECTION.
- Use of a two-dimensional model for fiber spinning.



ICCHMT 2011, Istanbul, Turkey

Francisco J. Blanco– Rodríguez, J. I. Ramos

#### Introduction

Formulation

Results and discussion

Numerical results of the two-dimensional model

Comparisons with results of one-dimensional model

Conclusions

- Bi-component hollow compound fibers may be manufactured by MELT SPINNING processes.
- Applications
  - Chemical industry: Filtration and separation processes.
  - ② Textile industry.
  - 3 Optics: Data transmission.
  - Biomedical industry.
- Necessary: modelling of the drawing process of semi-crystalline hollow compound fibers.
- Previous studies are based on one-dimensional models of amorphous, slender fibers at very low *Re* and *Bi* numbers.
- NO INFORMATION ABOUT TEMPERATURE NON-UNIFORMITIES IN THE RADIAL DIRECTION.
- Use of a two-dimensional model for fiber spinning.



ICCHMT 2011, Istanbul, Turkey

Francisco J. Blanco– Rodríguez, J. I. Ramos

#### Introduction

Formulation

- Results and discussion
- Numerical results of the two-dimensional model
- Comparisons with results of one-dimensional model

Conclusions

- Bi-component hollow compound fibers may be manufactured by MELT SPINNING processes.
- Applications
  - Chemical industry: Filtration and separation processes.
  - ② Textile industry.
  - **③** Optics: Data transmission.
  - Biomedical industry.
- Necessary: modelling of the drawing process of semi-crystalline hollow compound fibers.
- Previous studies are based on one-dimensional models of amorphous, slender fibers at very low *Re* and *Bi* numbers.
- NO INFORMATION ABOUT TEMPERATURE NON-UNIFORMITIES IN THE RADIAL DIRECTION.
- Use of a two-dimensional model for fiber spinning.





ICCHMT 2011, Istanbul, Turkey

Francisco J. Blanco– Rodríguez, J. I. Ramos

#### Introduction

Formulation

- Results and discussion
- Numerical results of the two-dimensional model
- Comparisons with results of one-dimensional model

Conclusions

- Bi-component hollow compound fibers may be manufactured by MELT SPINNING processes.
- Applications
  - Chemical industry: Filtration and separation processes.
  - ② Textile industry.
  - **③** Optics: Data transmission.
  - ④ Biomedical industry.



- Necessary: modelling of the drawing process of semi-crystalline hollow compound fibers.
- Previous studies are based on one-dimensional models of amorphous, slender fibers at very low *Re* and *Bi* numbers.
- NO INFORMATION ABOUT TEMPERATURE NON-UNIFORMITIES IN THE RADIAL DIRECTION.
- Use of a two-dimensional model for fiber spinning.



ICCHMT 2011, Istanbul, Turkey

Francisco J. Blanco– Rodríguez, J. I. Ramos

#### Introduction

Formulation

Results and discussion

Numerical results of the two-dimensional model

Comparisons with results of one-dimensional model

Conclusions

- Bi-component hollow compound fibers may be manufactured by MELT SPINNING processes.
- Applications
  - Chemical industry: Filtration and separation processes.
  - ② Textile industry.
  - 3 Optics: Data transmission.
  - Biomedical industry.
- Necessary: modelling of the drawing process of semi-crystalline hollow compound fibers.
- Previous studies are based on one-dimensional models of amorphous, slender fibers at very low *Re* and *Bi* numbers.
- NO INFORMATION ABOUT TEMPERATURE NON-UNIFORMITIES IN THE RADIAL DIRECTION.
- Use of a two-dimensional model for fiber spinning.



ICCHMT 2011, Istanbul, Turkey

Francisco J. Blanco– Rodríguez, J. I. Ramos

#### Introduction

Formulation

Results and discussion

Numerical results of the two-dimensional model

Comparisons with results of one-dimensional model

Conclusions

- Bi-component hollow compound fibers may be manufactured by MELT SPINNING processes.
- Applications
  - Chemical industry: Filtration and separation processes.
  - ② Textile industry.
  - 3 Optics: Data transmission.
  - Biomedical industry.
- Necessary: modelling of the drawing process of semi-crystalline hollow compound fibers.
- Previous studies are based on one-dimensional models of amorphous, slender fibers at very low Re and Bi numbers.
- NO INFORMATION ABOUT TEMPERATURE NON-UNIFORMITIES IN THE RADIAL DIRECTION.
- Use of a two-dimensional model for fiber spinning.



ICCHMT 2011, Istanbul, Turkey

Francisco J. Blanco– Rodríguez, J. I. Ramos

#### Introduction

Formulation

Results and discussion

Numerical results of the two-dimensional model

Comparisons with results of one-dimensional model

Conclusions

- Bi-component hollow compound fibers may be manufactured by MELT SPINNING processes.
- Applications
  - Chemical industry: Filtration and separation processes.
  - ② Textile industry.
  - 3 Optics: Data transmission.
  - Biomedical industry.
- Necessary: modelling of the drawing process of semi-crystalline hollow compound fibers.
- Previous studies are based on one-dimensional models of amorphous, slender fibers at very low *Re* and *Bi* numbers.
- NO INFORMATION ABOUT TEMPERATURE NON-UNIFORMITIES IN THE RADIAL DIRECTION.
- Use of a two-dimensional model for fiber spinning.



ICCHMT 2011, Istanbul, Turkey

Francisco J. Blanco– Rodríguez, J. I. Ramos

#### Introduction

Formulation

Results and discussion

Numerical results of the two-dimensional model

Comparisons with results of one-dimensional model

Conclusions

- Bi-component hollow compound fibers may be manufactured by MELT SPINNING processes.
- Applications
  - Chemical industry: Filtration and separation processes.
  - ② Textile industry.
  - 3 Optics: Data transmission.
  - Biomedical industry.
- Necessary: modelling of the drawing process of semi-crystalline hollow compound fibers.
- Previous studies are based on one-dimensional models of amorphous, slender fibers at very low Re and Bi numbers.
- NO INFORMATION ABOUT TEMPERATURE NON-UNIFORMITIES IN THE RADIAL DIRECTION.
- Use of a two-dimensional model for fiber spinning.





Francisco J. Blanco– Rodríguez, J. I. Ramos

#### Introduction

Formulation

Results and discussion

Numerical results of the two-dimensional model

Comparisons with results of one-dimensional model

Conclusions

About the authors...

## Involves the extrusion and drawing of a polymer cylinder. Four zones



NXXX Riber to

 Shear Flow Region.

- 2 Flow Rearrangement Region.
- Melt Drawing Zone.
- Solidification region.



ICCHMT 2011, Istanbul, Turkey

Francisco J. Blanco– Rodríguez, J. I. Ramos

#### Introduction

Formulation

Results and discussion

Numerical results of the two-dimensional model

Quench

XXXX

Comparisons with results of one-dimensional model

Conclusions

About the authors...





Shear Flow Region.

- 2 Flow Rearrangement Region.
- 6 Melt Drawing Zone.
- Solidification region.



ICCHMT 2011. Istanbul. Turkey

Francisco J. Blanco-Rodríguez, J. I. Ramos

#### Introduction

Numerical two-dimensional

Quench

XXXX

with results of one-dimensional

Involves the extrusion and drawing of a polymer cylinder. Four zones.



Shear Flow Region.

G Solidification



ICCHMT 2011, Istanbul, Turkey

Francisco J. Blanco– Rodríguez, J. I. Ramos

#### Introduction

Formulation

Results and discussion

Numerical results of the two-dimensional model

Quench

XXXX

Comparisons with results of one-dimensional model

Conclusions

About the authors...

Involves the extrusion and drawing of a polymer cylinder. Four zones.



- Shear Flow Region.
- Plow Rearrangement Region.
- **3** Melt Drawing Zone.
- Solidification region.



ICCHMT 2011. Istanbul. Turkey

Francisco J. Blanco-Rodríguez, J. I. Ramos

#### Introduction

Numerical two-dimensional

Quench

with results of one-dimensional

Involves the extrusion and drawing of a polymer cylinder. Four zones.



- Shear Flow Region.
- Plow Rearrangement Region.
- **6** Melt Drawing Zone.

1



ICCHMT 2011. Istanbul. Turkey

Francisco J. Blanco-Rodríguez, J. I. Ramos

#### Introduction

two-dimensional

Quench

with results of one-dimensional

Involves the extrusion and drawing of a polymer cylinder. Four zones.



- Shear Flow Region.
- Plow Rearrangement Region.
- **6** Melt Drawing Zone.
- O Solidification region.



ICCHMT 2011, Istanbul, Turkey

Francisco J. Blanco– Rodríguez, J. I. Ramos

#### Introduction

#### Formulation

Results and discussion

Numerical results of the two-dimensional model

Comparisons with results of one-dimensional model

Conclusions

About the authors...

# FORMULATION

< □ ▶ < □ ▶ < 亘 ▶ < 亘 ▶ < 亘 ▶ 6/27



ICCHMT 2011, Istanbul, Turkey

Francisco J. Blanco– Rodríguez, J. I. Ramos

#### Introduction

#### Formulation

Results and discussion

Numerical results of the two-dimensional model

Comparisons with results of one-dimensional model

#### Conclusions

About the authors...

Mass conservation equation

$$\nabla \cdot \mathbf{v}_i = 0$$
  $i = 1, 2,$ 

where  $\mathbf{v} = u(r,x)\,\mathbf{e_x} + v(r,x)\,\mathbf{e_r}$ 

Linear Momentum conservation equation

$$\rho_i\left(\frac{\partial \mathbf{v}_i}{\partial t} + \mathbf{v}_i \cdot \nabla \mathbf{v}_i\right) = -\nabla p_i + \nabla \cdot \boldsymbol{\tau}_i + \rho_i \cdot \mathbf{f}^m \qquad i = 1, 2,$$

where  $\mathbf{f}^m = g \, \mathbf{e}_\mathbf{x}$ 

• Energy conservation equation

$$\rho_i C_i \left( \frac{\partial T_i}{\partial t} + \mathbf{v}_i \cdot \nabla T_i \right) = -K_i \Delta T_i \qquad i = 1, 2,$$

- Constitutive equations
  - Rheology

$$\boldsymbol{\tau} = \boldsymbol{\mu} \left( \nabla \mathbf{v} + \nabla \mathbf{v}^T \right) + \boldsymbol{\tau}_p,$$

where

$$\boldsymbol{\tau}_{p} = 3c k_{B} T \left[ -\frac{\lambda}{\phi} F(\mathbf{S}) + 2\lambda \left( \nabla \mathbf{v}^{T} : \mathbf{S} \right) \left( \mathbf{S} + \mathbf{I}/3 \right) \right]$$



ICCHMT 2011, Istanbul, Turkey

Francisco J. Blanco– Rodríguez, J. I. Ramos

#### Introduction

#### Formulation

Results and discussion

Numerical results of the two-dimensional model

Comparisons with results of one-dimensional model

#### Conclusions

About the authors...

Mass conservation equation

$$\nabla \cdot \mathbf{v}_i = 0$$
  $i = 1, 2,$ 

where  $\mathbf{v} = u(r,x) \, \mathbf{e_x} + v(r,x) \, \mathbf{e_r}$ 

• Linear Momentum conservation equation

$$\rho_i\left(\frac{\partial \mathbf{v}_i}{\partial t} + \mathbf{v}_i \cdot \nabla \mathbf{v}_i\right) = -\nabla p_i + \nabla \cdot \boldsymbol{\tau}_i + \rho_i \cdot \mathbf{f}^m \qquad i = 1, 2,$$

where  $\mathbf{f}^m = g \, \mathbf{e_x}$ 

Energy conservation equation

$$\rho_i C_i \left( \frac{\partial T_i}{\partial t} + \mathbf{v}_i \cdot \nabla T_i \right) = -K_i \Delta T_i \qquad i = 1, 2,$$

- Constitutive equations
  - Rheology

$$\boldsymbol{\tau} = \boldsymbol{\mu} \left( \nabla \mathbf{v} + \nabla \mathbf{v}^T \right) + \boldsymbol{\tau}_p,$$

where

$$\boldsymbol{\tau}_{p} = 3c \, k_{B} \, T \left[ -\frac{\lambda}{\phi} \, F(\mathbf{S}) + 2\lambda \left( \nabla \mathbf{v}^{T} : \mathbf{S} \right) \left( \mathbf{S} + \mathbf{I}/3 \right) \right]$$

3



ICCHMT 2011, Istanbul, Turkey

Francisco J. Blanco– Rodríguez, J. I. Ramos

#### Introduction

#### Formulation

Results and discussion

Numerical results of the two-dimensional model

Comparisons with results of one-dimensional model

#### Conclusions

About the authors...

Mass conservation equation

$$\nabla \cdot \mathbf{v}_i = 0$$
  $i = 1, 2,$ 

where  $\mathbf{v} = u(r,x) \, \mathbf{e_x} + v(r,x) \, \mathbf{e_r}$ 

• Linear Momentum conservation equation

$$\rho_i\left(\frac{\partial \mathbf{v}_i}{\partial t} + \mathbf{v}_i \cdot \nabla \mathbf{v}_i\right) = -\nabla p_i + \nabla \cdot \boldsymbol{\tau}_i + \rho_i \cdot \mathbf{f}^m \qquad i = 1, 2,$$

where  $\mathbf{f}^m = g \, \mathbf{e}_\mathbf{x}$ 

• Energy conservation equation

$$\rho_i \, C_i \, \left( \frac{\partial T_i}{\partial t} + \mathbf{v}_i \cdot \nabla T_i \right) = -K_i \Delta T_i \qquad i=1,2,$$

- Constitutive equations
  - Rheology

$$\boldsymbol{\tau} = \boldsymbol{\mu} \left( \nabla \mathbf{v} + \nabla \mathbf{v}^T \right) + \boldsymbol{\tau}_p,$$

where

$$\boldsymbol{\tau}_{p} = 3c \, k_{B} \, T \left[ -\frac{\lambda}{\phi} \, F(\mathbf{S}) + 2\lambda \left( \nabla \mathbf{v}^{T} : \mathbf{S} \right) \left( \mathbf{S} + \mathbf{I}/3 \right) \right]$$

3



ICCHMT 2011, Istanbul, Turkey

Francisco J. Blanco– Rodríguez, J. I. Ramos

#### Introduction

#### Formulation

Results and discussion

Numerical results of the two-dimensional model

Comparisons with results of one-dimensional model

#### Conclusions

About the authors...

Mass conservation equation

$$\nabla \cdot \mathbf{v}_i = 0$$
  $i = 1, 2,$ 

where  $\mathbf{v} = u(r,x) \, \mathbf{e_x} + v(r,x) \, \mathbf{e_r}$ 

• Linear Momentum conservation equation

$$\rho_i \left( \frac{\partial \mathbf{v}_i}{\partial t} + \mathbf{v}_i \cdot \nabla \mathbf{v}_i \right) = -\nabla p_i + \nabla \cdot \boldsymbol{\tau}_i + \rho_i \cdot \mathbf{f}^m \qquad i = 1, 2,$$

where  $\mathbf{f}^m = g \, \mathbf{e}_\mathbf{x}$ 

• Energy conservation equation

$$\rho_i C_i \left( \frac{\partial T_i}{\partial t} + \mathbf{v}_i \cdot \nabla T_i \right) = -K_i \Delta T_i \qquad i = 1, 2,$$

#### • Constitutive equations

Rheology

$$\boldsymbol{\tau} = \boldsymbol{\mu} \left( \nabla \mathbf{v} + \nabla \mathbf{v}^T \right) + \boldsymbol{\tau}_p$$

where

$$\boldsymbol{\tau}_{p} = 3ck_{B}T\left[-\frac{\lambda}{\phi}F(\mathbf{S}) + 2\lambda\left(\nabla\mathbf{v}^{T}:\mathbf{S}\right)(\mathbf{S}+\mathbf{I}/3)\right]$$

$$< \Box \mathrel{\,\triangleright\,} < \overline{\Box} \mathrel{\,\diamond\,} < \overline{\Xi} \mathrel{\,\diamond\,} < \overline{\Xi} \mathrel{\,\diamond\,} < \overline{\Xi} \mathrel{\,\diamond\,} < \overline{\Xi} \mathrel{\,\diamond\,} < \overline{\Xi}$$



### Molecular orientation and crystallinity models

ICCHMT 2011, Istanbul, Turkey

Francisco J. Blanco– Rodríguez, J. I. Ramos

Introduction

Formulation

Results and discussion

Numerical results of the two-dimensional model

Comparisons with results of one-dimensional model

Conclusions

About the authors...

• Crystallization: Avrami-Kolmogorov's theory & Ziabicki's model

$$\frac{\partial Y_i}{\partial t} + \mathbf{v}_i \cdot \nabla Y_i = k_{Ai}(\mathcal{S}_i) \left( Y_{\infty,i} - Y_i \right) \qquad i = 1, 2,$$

where

$$k_{Ai}(S_i) = k_{Ai}(0) \exp(a_{2i}S_i^2), \quad i = 1, 2.$$

• Molecular orientation scalar order parameter

$$\mathcal{S} \equiv \sqrt{\frac{3}{2} \left( \mathbf{S} : \mathbf{S} \right)} \qquad \mathbf{S} = \frac{1}{3} \cdot \operatorname{diag} \left( S_{rr}, - \left( S_{rr} + S_{xx} \right), S_{xx} \right),$$

• Molecular orientation tensor equation: Doi-Edwards theory

$$\begin{split} \mathbf{S}_{(1)} &= F(\mathbf{S}) + G(\nabla \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{S}), \\ F(\mathbf{S}) &= -\frac{\phi}{\lambda} \left\{ (1 - N/3) \, \mathbf{S} - N \left( \mathbf{S} \cdot \mathbf{S} \right) + N \left( \mathbf{S} : \mathbf{S} \right) \left( \mathbf{S} + \mathbf{I}/3 \right) \right\} \\ G(\nabla \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{S}) &= \frac{1}{3} \left( \nabla \mathbf{v} + \nabla \mathbf{v}^T \right) - 2 \left( \nabla \mathbf{v}^T : \mathbf{S} \right) \left( \mathbf{S} + \mathbf{I}/3 \right). \end{split}$$

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト

8/27

where subscript (1) denote UCTD operator

$$\Lambda_{(1)} = \frac{\partial \Lambda}{\partial t} + \mathbf{v} \cdot \nabla \Lambda - \left( \nabla \mathbf{v}^T \cdot \Lambda + \Lambda \cdot \nabla \mathbf{v} \right)$$



### Molecular orientation and crystallinity models

ICCHMT 2011, Istanbul, Turkey

Francisco J. Blanco– Rodríguez, J. I. Ramos

#### Introduction

Formulation

Results and discussion

Numerical results of the two-dimensional model

Comparisons with results of one-dimensional model

Conclusions

About the authors...

#### • Crystallization: Avrami-Kolmogorov's theory & Ziabicki's model

$$\frac{\partial Y_i}{\partial t} + \mathbf{v}_i \cdot \nabla Y_i = k_{Ai}(\mathcal{S}_i) \left( Y_{\infty,i} - Y_i \right) \qquad i = 1, 2,$$

where

$$k_{Ai}(S_i) = k_{Ai}(0) \exp(a_{2i}S_i^2), \quad i = 1, 2.$$

Molecular orientation scalar order parameter

$$\mathcal{S} \equiv \sqrt{\frac{3}{2}\left(\mathbf{S}:\mathbf{S}\right)} \qquad \mathbf{S} = \frac{1}{3} \cdot \text{diag}\left(S_{rr}, -\left(S_{rr}+S_{xx}\right), \, S_{xx}\right),$$

Molecular orientation tensor equation: Doi-Edwards theory

$$\begin{split} &\mathbf{S}_{(1)} = F(\mathbf{S}) + G(\nabla \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{S}), \\ &F(\mathbf{S}) = -\frac{\phi}{\lambda} \left\{ (1 - N/3) \, \mathbf{S} - N \left( \mathbf{S} \cdot \mathbf{S} \right) + N \left( \mathbf{S} : \mathbf{S} \right) \left( \mathbf{S} + \mathbf{I}/3 \right) \right\} \\ &G(\nabla \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{S}) = \frac{1}{3} \left( \nabla \mathbf{v} + \nabla \mathbf{v}^T \right) - 2 \left( \nabla \mathbf{v}^T : \mathbf{S} \right) \left( \mathbf{S} + \mathbf{I}/3 \right). \end{split}$$

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト

8/27

where subscript (1) denote UCTD operator

$$\Lambda_{(1)} = \frac{\partial \Lambda}{\partial t} + \mathbf{v} \cdot \nabla \Lambda - \left( \nabla \mathbf{v}^T \cdot \Lambda + \Lambda \cdot \nabla \mathbf{v} \right)$$



### Molecular orientation and crystallinity models

ICCHMT 2011, Istanbul, Turkey

Francisco J. Blanco– Rodríguez, J. I. Ramos

#### Introduction

Formulation

Results and discussion

Numerical results of the two-dimensional model

Comparisons with results of one-dimensional model

Conclusions

About the authors...

#### • Crystallization: Avrami-Kolmogorov's theory & Ziabicki's model

$$\frac{\partial Y_i}{\partial t} + \mathbf{v}_i \cdot \nabla Y_i = k_{Ai}(\mathcal{S}_i) \left( Y_{\infty,i} - Y_i \right) \qquad i = 1, 2,$$

where

$$k_{Ai}(S_i) = k_{Ai}(0) \exp(a_{2i}S_i^2), \quad i = 1, 2.$$

Molecular orientation scalar order parameter

$$\mathcal{S} \equiv \sqrt{\frac{3}{2} \left( \mathbf{S} : \mathbf{S} \right)} \qquad \mathbf{S} = \frac{1}{3} \cdot \text{diag} \left( S_{rr}, - \left( S_{rr} + S_{xx} \right), \, S_{xx} \right),$$

• Molecular orientation tensor equation: Doi-Edwards theory

$$\begin{split} &\mathbf{S}_{(1)} = F(\mathbf{S}) + G(\nabla \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{S}), \\ &F(\mathbf{S}) = -\frac{\phi}{\lambda} \left\{ (1 - N/3) \, \mathbf{S} - N \left( \mathbf{S} \cdot \mathbf{S} \right) + N \left( \mathbf{S} : \mathbf{S} \right) \left( \mathbf{S} + \mathbf{I}/3 \right) \right\} \\ &G(\nabla \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{S}) = \frac{1}{3} \left( \nabla \mathbf{v} + \nabla \mathbf{v}^T \right) - 2 \left( \nabla \mathbf{v}^T : \mathbf{S} \right) \left( \mathbf{S} + \mathbf{I}/3 \right). \end{split}$$

イロト 不得下 イヨト イヨト

3

8/27

where subscript (1) denote UCTD operator

$$\Lambda_{(1)} = \frac{\partial \Lambda}{\partial t} + \mathbf{v} \cdot \nabla \Lambda - \left( \nabla \mathbf{v}^T \cdot \Lambda + \Lambda \cdot \nabla \mathbf{v} \right)$$



ICCHMT 2011, Istanbul, Turkey

Francisco J. Blanco– Rodríguez, J. I. Ramos

#### Introduction

#### Formulation

Results and discussion

Numerical results of the two-dimensional model

Comparisons with results of one-dimensional model

Conclusions

About the authors...



- Initial conditions (t = 0)
- Die exit conditions (x = 0)
- Take-up point conditions (x = L)
- Conditions on free surfaces of hollow compound fiber  $(r = R_1(x), r = R(x) \text{ and}$  $r = R_2(x))$



ICCHMT 2011, Istanbul, Turkey

Francisco J. Blanco– Rodríguez, J. I. Ramos

#### Introduction

#### Formulation

Results and discussion

Numerical results of the two-dimensional model

Comparisons with results of one-dimensional model

Conclusions

About the authors...



- Initial conditions (t = 0)
- Die exit conditions (x = 0)
- Take-up point conditions (x = L)
- Conditions on free surfaces of hollow compound fiber  $(r = R_1(x), r = R(x) \text{ and}$  $r = R_2(x))$



ICCHMT 2011, Istanbul, Turkey

Francisco J. Blanco– Rodríguez, J. I. Ramos

#### Introduction

#### Formulation

Results and discussion

Numerical results of the two-dimensional model

Comparisons with results of one-dimensional model

Conclusions

About the authors...



- Initial conditions (t = 0)
- Die exit conditions (x = 0)
- Take-up point conditions (x = L)
- Conditions on free surfaces of hollow compound fiber  $(r = R_1(x), r = R(x) \text{ and}$  $r = R_2(x))$



ICCHMT 2011, Istanbul, Turkey

Francisco J. Blanco– Rodríguez, J. I. Ramos

#### Introduction

#### Formulation

Results and discussion

Numerical results of the two-dimensional model

Comparisons with results of one-dimensional model

Conclusions

About the authors...



- Initial conditions (t = 0)
- Die exit conditions (x = 0)
- Take-up point conditions (x = L)
- Conditions on free surfaces of hollow compound fiber  $(r = R_1(x), r = R(x) \text{ and}$  $r = R_2(x))$



### Non-dimensionalize

ICCHMT 2011, Istanbul, Turkey

Francisco J. Blanco– Rodríguez, J. I. Ramos

Introduction

#### Formulation

Results and discussion

Numerical results of the two-dimensional model

Comparisons with results of one-dimensional model

#### Conclusions

About the authors...

• Non-dimensional variables

$$\hat{t} = \frac{t}{(L/u_0)} \qquad \hat{r} = \frac{r}{R_0} \qquad \hat{x} = \frac{x}{L} \quad \Rightarrow \quad \epsilon = \frac{R_0}{L}$$
$$\hat{u} = \frac{u}{u_0} \qquad \hat{v} = \frac{v}{(u_0 \epsilon)} \qquad \hat{p} = \frac{p}{(\mu_0 u_0/L)} \qquad \hat{T} = \frac{T}{T_0}$$
$$\hat{\rho} = \frac{\rho}{\rho_0} \qquad \hat{C} = \frac{C}{C_0} \qquad \hat{\mu} = \frac{\mu}{\mu_0} \qquad \hat{K} = \frac{K}{K_0}$$

• Non-dimensional numbers

$$Re = \frac{\rho_0 u_0 R_0}{\mu_0}, \quad Fr = \frac{u_0^2}{gR_0}, \quad Ca = \frac{\mu_0 u_0}{\sigma},$$
$$Pr = \frac{\mu_0 C_0}{K_0}, \quad Pe = Re Pr, \quad Bi = \frac{hR_0}{K_0}$$

<ロ > < 回 > < 三 > < 三 > < 三 > < 三 > 三 の Q (~ 10/27



### Non-dimensionalize

ICCHMT 2011, Istanbul, Turkey

Francisco J. Blanco– Rodríguez, J. I. Ramos

#### Introduction

#### Formulation

Results and discussion

Numerical results of the two-dimensional model

Comparisons with results of one-dimensional model

#### Conclusions

About the authors...

• Non-dimensional variables

$$\hat{t} = \frac{t}{(L/u_0)} \qquad \hat{r} = \frac{r}{R_0} \qquad \hat{x} = \frac{x}{L} \implies \epsilon = \frac{R_0}{L}$$
$$\hat{u} = \frac{u}{u_0} \qquad \hat{v} = \frac{v}{(u_0 \epsilon)} \qquad \hat{p} = \frac{p}{(\mu_0 u_0/L)} \qquad \hat{T} = \frac{T}{T_0}$$
$$\hat{\rho} = \frac{\rho}{\rho_0} \qquad \hat{C} = \frac{C}{C_0} \qquad \hat{\mu} = \frac{\mu}{\mu_0} \qquad \hat{K} = \frac{K}{K_0}$$

• Non-dimensional numbers

$$\begin{aligned} Re &= \frac{\rho_0 u_0 R_0}{\mu_0}, \quad Fr = \frac{u_0^2}{g R_0}, \quad Ca = \frac{\mu_0 u_0}{\sigma}, \\ Pr &= \frac{\mu_0 C_0}{K_0}, \quad Pe = Re \, Pr, \quad Bi = \frac{h R_0}{K_0} \end{aligned}$$



### Asymptotic analysis: 1D model

ICCHMT 2011, Istanbul, Turkey

Francisco J. Blanco– Rodríguez, J. I. Ramos

#### Introduction

#### Formulation

Results and discussion

Numerical results of the two-dimensional model

Comparisons with results of one-dimensional model

#### Conclusions

About the authors...

• Asymptotic method using the fiber slenderness,  $\epsilon << 1$ , as perturbation parameter

$$\Psi_i = \Psi_{i,0} + \epsilon^2 \Psi_{i,2} + O(\epsilon^4) \,,$$

for the variables  $\hat{R}_i$ ,  $\hat{u}_i$ ,  $\hat{v}_i$ ,  $\hat{p}_i$  and  $\hat{T}_i$  where i = 1, 2. • Flow regime steady  $(\frac{\partial}{\partial i} = 0)$  jets and

$$\bar{F}$$
  $\bar{C}$ 

$$Re = \epsilon R, \qquad Fr = -\epsilon, \qquad Ca = -\epsilon$$

$$Pe = \epsilon \bar{P}, \qquad Bi = \epsilon^2 \bar{B}$$

where  $\overline{\Upsilon} = O(1)$ .



### Asymptotic analysis: 1D model

ICCHMT 2011, Istanbul, Turkey

Francisco J. Blanco– Rodríguez, J. I. Ramos

#### Introduction

#### Formulation

Results and discussion

Numerical results of the two-dimensional model

Comparisons with results of one-dimensional model

Conclusions

About the authors...

• Asymptotic method using the fiber slenderness,  $\epsilon << 1$ , as perturbation parameter

$$\Psi_i = \Psi_{i,0} + \epsilon^2 \Psi_{i,2} + O(\epsilon^4) \,,$$

for the variables  $\hat{R}_i$ ,  $\hat{u}_i$ ,  $\hat{v}_i$ ,  $\hat{p}_i$  and  $\hat{T}_i$  where i = 1, 2.

• Flow regime steady ( $\frac{\partial}{\partial \hat{t}} = 0$ ) jets and

$$Re = \epsilon \bar{R}, \qquad Fr = \frac{\bar{F}}{\epsilon}, \qquad Ca = \frac{\bar{C}}{\epsilon},$$

 $Pe = \epsilon \bar{P}, \qquad Bi = \epsilon^2 \bar{B}$ 

where  $\bar{\Upsilon} = O(1)$ .



ICCHMT 2011, Istanbul, Turkey

Francisco J. Blanco– Rodríguez, J. I. Ramos

#### Introduction

Formulation

Results and discussion

Numerical results of the two-dimensional model

Comparisons with results of one-dimensional model

Conclusions

About the authors...

• Asymptotic one-dimensional mass conservation equation

$$\mathcal{A}_1 \mathcal{B} = V_1,$$
$$\mathcal{A}_2 \mathcal{B} = V_2,$$
$$\frac{d}{d\hat{x}} \left(\frac{\mathcal{R}_0^2}{2} \mathcal{B}\right) = \mathcal{C}(\hat{x})$$

where

$$\mathcal{A}_1 = \frac{\mathcal{R}_0^2 - \mathcal{R}_1^2}{2}, \qquad \mathcal{A}_2 = \frac{\mathcal{R}_2^2 - \mathcal{R}_0^2}{2},$$

and

$$\mathcal{C}\left(\hat{x}\right) = \left(\frac{1}{2\,\bar{C}}\right) \frac{\left(\frac{\sigma_{1}}{\sigma}\right)\frac{1}{\mathcal{R}_{1}} + \frac{1}{\mathcal{R}_{0}} + \left(\frac{\sigma_{2}}{\sigma}\right)\frac{1}{\mathcal{R}_{2}}}{<\hat{\mu}_{e1,0} > \left(\frac{1}{\mathcal{R}_{0}^{2}} - \frac{1}{\mathcal{R}_{1}^{2}}\right) + <\hat{\mu}_{e2,0} > \left(\frac{1}{\mathcal{R}_{2}^{2}} - \frac{1}{\mathcal{R}_{0}^{2}}\right)},$$



ICCHMT

2011, Istanbul, Turkey Francisco J. Blanco– Rodríguez, J. I. Ramos

Formulation

Numerical results of the two-dimensional model Comparisons with results of one-dimensional model

### One-dimensional equations of the 2D model

• Asymptotic one-dimensional axial momentum equation

$$\begin{aligned} (\hat{\rho}_{1}V_{1} + \hat{\rho}_{2}V_{2})\bar{R}\frac{d\mathcal{B}}{d\hat{x}} &= (\hat{\rho}_{1}\mathcal{A}_{1} + \hat{\rho}_{2}\mathcal{A}_{2})\frac{\bar{R}}{\bar{F}} \\ &+ \frac{d}{d\hat{x}}\left(3\left(<\hat{\mu}_{e1,0} > \mathcal{A}_{1} + <\hat{\mu}_{e2,0} > \mathcal{A}_{2}\right)\frac{d\mathcal{B}}{d\hat{x}}\right) \\ &+ 2\mathcal{C}\left(\hat{x}\right)\left(-\frac{<\hat{\mu}_{e1,0} >}{\mathcal{R}_{1}}\frac{d\mathcal{R}_{1}}{d\hat{x}} + \frac{<\hat{\mu}_{e1,0} > - <\hat{\mu}_{e2,0} >}{\mathcal{R}_{0}}\frac{d\mathcal{R}_{0}}{d\hat{x}} + \frac{<\hat{\mu}_{e2,0} >}{\mathcal{R}_{2}}\frac{d\mathcal{R}_{2}}{d\hat{x}}\right) \\ &- \left(\mathcal{A}_{1}\frac{d\mathcal{D}_{1}}{d\hat{x}} + \mathcal{A}_{2}\frac{d\mathcal{D}_{2}}{d\hat{x}}\right) \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\mathcal{D}_1 = - \langle \hat{\mu}_{e1,0} \rangle \frac{2\mathcal{C}\left(\hat{x}\right)}{\mathcal{R}_1^2} - \frac{1}{\bar{C}} \left(\frac{\sigma_1}{\sigma}\right) \frac{1}{\mathcal{R}_1},$$
$$\mathcal{D}_2 = - \langle \hat{\mu}_{e2,0} \rangle \frac{2\mathcal{C}\left(\hat{x}\right)}{\mathcal{R}_2^2} + \frac{1}{\bar{C}} \left(\frac{\sigma_2}{\sigma}\right) \frac{1}{\mathcal{R}_2}$$

• Incompressibility condition

$$\mathcal{V}(\hat{r}, \hat{x}) = \frac{\mathcal{C}(\hat{x})}{\hat{r}} - \frac{\hat{r}}{2} \frac{d\mathcal{B}}{d\hat{x}}$$



ICCHMT

2011, Istanbul, Turkey Francisco J. Blanco– Rodríguez, J. I. Ramos

Formulation

Numerical results of the two-dimensional

one-dimensional model

### One-dimensional equations of the 2D model

• Asymptotic one-dimensional axial momentum equation

$$\begin{split} (\hat{\rho}_{1}V_{1} + \hat{\rho}_{2}V_{2})\bar{R} \frac{d\mathcal{B}}{d\hat{x}} &= (\hat{\rho}_{1}\mathcal{A}_{1} + \hat{\rho}_{2}\mathcal{A}_{2})\frac{\bar{R}}{\bar{F}} \\ &+ \frac{d}{d\hat{x}} \left( 3\left(<\hat{\mu}_{e1,0} > \mathcal{A}_{1} + <\hat{\mu}_{e2,0} > \mathcal{A}_{2}\right) \frac{d\mathcal{B}}{d\hat{x}} \right) \\ &+ 2\mathcal{C}\left(\hat{x}\right) \left( -\frac{<\hat{\mu}_{e1,0} >}{\mathcal{R}_{1}} \frac{d\mathcal{R}_{1}}{d\hat{x}} + \frac{<\hat{\mu}_{e1,0} > - <\hat{\mu}_{e2,0} >}{\mathcal{R}_{0}} \frac{d\mathcal{R}_{0}}{d\hat{x}} + \frac{<\hat{\mu}_{e2,0} >}{\mathcal{R}_{2}} \frac{d\mathcal{R}_{2}}{d\hat{x}} \right) \\ &- \left( \mathcal{A}_{1} \frac{d\mathcal{D}_{1}}{d\hat{x}} + \mathcal{A}_{2} \frac{d\mathcal{D}_{2}}{d\hat{x}} \right), \end{split}$$

where

$$\mathcal{D}_1 = - \langle \hat{\mu}_{e1,0} \rangle \frac{2\mathcal{C}\left(\hat{x}\right)}{\mathcal{R}_1^2} - \frac{1}{\bar{C}} \left(\frac{\sigma_1}{\sigma}\right) \frac{1}{\mathcal{R}_1},$$
$$\mathcal{D}_2 = - \langle \hat{\mu}_{e2,0} \rangle \frac{2\mathcal{C}\left(\hat{x}\right)}{\mathcal{R}_2^2} + \frac{1}{\bar{C}} \left(\frac{\sigma_2}{\sigma}\right) \frac{1}{\mathcal{R}_2}$$

#### Incompressibility condition

$$\mathcal{V}(\hat{r}, \hat{x}) = \frac{\mathcal{C}(\hat{x})}{\hat{r}} - \frac{\hat{r}}{2} \frac{d\mathcal{B}}{d\hat{x}},$$



**ICCHMT** 

2011, Istanbul, Turkey Francisco J. Blanco-Rodríguez, J. I. Ramos

### Two-dimensional equations of the 2D model

• Two-dimensional energy equation

$$\mathcal{B} \frac{\partial \hat{T}_1}{\partial \hat{x}} + \left(\frac{\mathcal{C}\left(\hat{x}\right)}{\hat{r}} - \frac{\hat{r}}{2} \frac{d\mathcal{B}}{d\hat{x}}\right) \frac{\partial \hat{T}_1}{\partial \hat{r}} = \frac{1}{\bar{P}_1} \frac{1}{\hat{r}} \frac{\partial}{\partial \hat{r}} \left(\hat{r} \frac{\partial \hat{T}_1}{\partial \hat{r}}\right) \qquad \mathcal{R}_1 \le \hat{r} \le \mathcal{R}_0,$$

$$\begin{split} \hat{T}_{1}(\hat{r},\,0) &= \tilde{T}_{1}(\hat{r}),\\ -\hat{K}_{1}\,\frac{\partial\hat{T}_{1}}{\partial\hat{r}}(\mathcal{R}_{1},\,\hat{x}) &= 0,\\ \hat{T}_{1}\,(\mathcal{R}_{0},\,\hat{x}) &= \hat{T}_{2}\,(\mathcal{R}_{0},\,\hat{x}) \end{split}$$

scussion  
umerical  
isults of the 
$$\partial \hat{T}_{2}$$

two-dimensional model

Formulation

Comparisons with results of one-dimensional model

#### Conclusions

About the authors...

$$\mathcal{B} \frac{\partial \hat{T}_2}{\partial \hat{x}} + \left(\frac{\mathcal{C}\left(\hat{x}\right)}{\hat{r}} - \frac{\hat{r}}{2}\frac{d\mathcal{B}}{d\hat{x}}\right)\frac{\partial \hat{T}_2}{\partial \hat{r}} = \frac{1}{\bar{P}_2}\frac{1}{\hat{r}}\frac{\partial}{\partial \hat{r}}\left(\hat{r}\frac{\partial \hat{T}_2}{\partial \hat{r}}\right) \qquad \mathcal{R}_0 \le \hat{r} \le \mathcal{R}_2$$

$$\begin{split} \hat{T}_{2}(\hat{r}, 0) &= \tilde{T}_{2}(\hat{r}), \\ -\hat{K}_{2} \; \frac{\partial \hat{T}_{2}}{\partial \hat{r}}(\mathcal{R}_{2}, \hat{x}) &= \bar{B} \, \hat{h}_{2} \left( \hat{T}_{2}(\mathcal{R}_{2}, \hat{x}) - \hat{T}_{2,\infty} \right), \\ -\hat{K}_{1} \; \frac{\partial \hat{T}_{1}}{\partial \hat{r}}\left( \mathcal{R}_{0}, \, \hat{x} \right) &= -\hat{K}_{2} \; \frac{\partial \hat{T}_{2}}{\partial \hat{r}}\left( \mathcal{R}_{0}, \, \hat{x} \right) \end{split}$$

∃ つへで 14/27



ICCHMT 2011, Istanbul, Turkey

Francisco J. Blanco– Rodríguez, J. I. Ramos

#### Introduction

#### Formulation

Results and discussion

Numerical results of the two-dimensional model

Comparisons with results of one-dimensional model

Conclusions

About the authors...

#### • Two-dimensional degree of crystallinity equation

$$\mathcal{B}\frac{\partial Y_i}{\partial \hat{x}} + \left(\frac{\mathcal{C}\left(\hat{x}\right)}{\hat{r}} - \frac{\hat{r}}{2}\frac{d\mathcal{B}}{d\hat{x}}\right)\frac{\partial Y_i}{\partial \hat{r}} = k_{Ai}(0)\exp\left(a_{2i}\mathcal{S}_i^2\right)\left(Y_{\infty,i} - Y_i\right), \qquad i = 1, 2,$$

$$Y_i(\hat{r}, 0) = \tilde{Y}_i(\hat{r}), \qquad i = 1, 2,$$

• Effective dynamic viscosity

$$\hat{\mu}_{ei,0}\left(\hat{r},\,\hat{x}\right) = \hat{G}_i\,\exp\left(\hat{E}_i\left(1-\hat{T}_i\right) + \beta_i\left(\frac{Y_i}{Y_{\infty,i}}\right)^{n_i}\right) + \frac{2}{3}\,\alpha_i\,\hat{\lambda}_i\mathcal{S}_i^2 \qquad i = 1, 2.$$

• Cross-sectionally averaged effective dynamic viscosity

$$<\hat{\mu}_{e1,0}>(\hat{x}) = \int_{\mathcal{R}_{1}}^{\mathcal{R}_{0}} \hat{\mu}_{e1,0}(\hat{r},\hat{x})\,\hat{r}\,d\hat{r}/\mathcal{A}_{1},$$
$$<\hat{\mu}_{e2,0}>(\hat{x}) = \int_{\mathcal{R}_{0}}^{\mathcal{R}_{2}} \hat{\mu}_{e2,0}(\hat{r},\hat{x})\,\hat{r}\,d\hat{r}/\mathcal{A}_{2}.$$

つくで 15/27



ICCHMT 2011, Istanbul, Turkey

Francisco J. Blanco– Rodríguez, J. I. Ramos

#### Introduction

#### Formulation

Results and discussion

Numerical results of the two-dimensional model

Comparisons with results of one-dimensional model

Conclusions

About the authors...

#### • Two-dimensional degree of crystallinity equation

$$\mathcal{B}\frac{\partial Y_i}{\partial \hat{x}} + \left(\frac{\mathcal{C}\left(\hat{x}\right)}{\hat{r}} - \frac{\hat{r}}{2}\frac{d\mathcal{B}}{d\hat{x}}\right)\frac{\partial Y_i}{\partial \hat{r}} = k_{Ai}(0)\exp\left(a_{2i}\mathcal{S}_i^2\right)\left(Y_{\infty,i} - Y_i\right), \qquad i = 1, 2,$$

$$Y_i(\hat{r}, 0) = \tilde{Y}_i(\hat{r}), \qquad i = 1, 2,$$

#### • Effective dynamic viscosity

$$\hat{\mu}_{ei,0}\left(\hat{r},\,\hat{x}\right) = \hat{G}_i\,\exp\left(\hat{E}_i\left(1-\hat{T}_i\right) + \beta_i\left(\frac{Y_i}{Y_{\infty,i}}\right)^{n_i}\right) + \frac{2}{3}\,\alpha_i\,\hat{\lambda}_i\mathcal{S}_i^2 \qquad i = 1, 2.$$

• Cross-sectionally averaged effective dynamic viscosity

$$<\hat{\mu}_{e1,0}>(\hat{x}) = \int_{\mathcal{R}_{1}}^{\mathcal{R}_{0}} \hat{\mu}_{e1,0}(\hat{r},\hat{x})\,\hat{r}\,d\hat{r}/\mathcal{A}_{1},$$
$$<\hat{\mu}_{e2,0}>(\hat{x}) = \int_{\mathcal{R}_{0}}^{\mathcal{R}_{2}} \hat{\mu}_{e2,0}(\hat{r},\hat{x})\,\hat{r}\,d\hat{r}/\mathcal{A}_{2}.$$

つへで 15/27



ICCHMT 2011, Istanbul, Turkey

Francisco J. Blanco– Rodríguez, J. I. Ramos

#### Introduction

#### Formulation

Results and discussion

Numerical results of the two-dimensional model

Comparisons with results of one-dimensional model

Conclusions

About the authors...

#### • Two-dimensional degree of crystallinity equation

$$\mathcal{B}\frac{\partial Y_i}{\partial \hat{x}} + \left(\frac{\mathcal{C}\left(\hat{x}\right)}{\hat{r}} - \frac{\hat{r}}{2}\frac{d\mathcal{B}}{d\hat{x}}\right)\frac{\partial Y_i}{\partial \hat{r}} = k_{Ai}(0)\exp\left(a_{2i}\mathcal{S}_i^2\right)\left(Y_{\infty,i} - Y_i\right), \qquad i = 1, 2,$$

$$Y_i(\hat{r}, 0) = \tilde{Y}_i(\hat{r}), \qquad i = 1, 2,$$

#### • Effective dynamic viscosity

$$\hat{\mu}_{ei,0}\left(\hat{r},\,\hat{x}\right) = \hat{G}_i\,\exp\left(\hat{E}_i\left(1-\hat{T}_i\right) + \beta_i\left(\frac{Y_i}{Y_{\infty,i}}\right)^{n_i}\right) + \frac{2}{3}\,\alpha_i\,\hat{\lambda}_i\mathcal{S}_i^2 \qquad i = 1, 2.$$

#### • Cross-sectionally averaged effective dynamic viscosity

$$< \hat{\mu}_{e1,0} > (\hat{x}) = \int_{\mathcal{R}_1}^{\mathcal{R}_0} \hat{\mu}_{e1,0}(\hat{r}, \hat{x}) \, \hat{r} \, d\hat{r} / \mathcal{A}_1,$$

$$< \hat{\mu}_{e2,0} > (\hat{x}) = \int_{\mathcal{R}_0}^{\mathcal{R}_2} \hat{\mu}_{e2,0}(\hat{r}, \hat{x}) \, \hat{r} \, d\hat{r} / \mathcal{A}_2.$$

15 / 27



ICCHMT 2011, Istanbul, Turkey

Francisco J. Blanco– Rodríguez, J. I. Ramos

#### Introduction

Formulation

Results and discussion

Numerical results of the two-dimensional model

Comparisons with results of one-dimensional model

#### Conclusions

About the authors...

• Two-dimensional equations for the molecular orientation tensor components

$$\mathcal{B} \frac{\partial S_{irr}}{\partial \hat{x}} + \left(\frac{\mathcal{C}\left(\hat{x}\right)}{\hat{r}} - \frac{\hat{r}}{2}\frac{d\mathcal{B}}{d\hat{x}}\right)\frac{\partial S_{irr}}{\partial \hat{r}} = (1 - S_{irr})\left(1 + S_{ixx}\right)\frac{d\mathcal{B}}{d\hat{x}}$$
$$-\frac{\phi_i}{\hat{\lambda}_i}\left\{S_{irr} + \frac{N_i}{3}\left[\left(S_{irr} - 1\right)\left(S_{irr} - O_{is}\right)\right]\right\}, \qquad i = 1, 2,$$

$$\mathcal{B} \frac{\partial S_{i\,rr}}{\partial \hat{x}} + \left(\frac{\mathcal{C}\left(\hat{x}\right)}{\hat{r}} - \frac{\hat{r}}{2}\frac{d\mathcal{B}}{d\hat{x}}\right)\frac{\partial S_{i\,rr}}{\partial \hat{r}} = \left(1 + S_{i\,xx}\right)\left(2 - S_{i\,xx}\right)\frac{d\mathcal{B}}{d\hat{x}} \\ - \frac{\phi_i}{\hat{\lambda}_i}\left\{S_{i\,xx} - \frac{N_i}{3}\left[\left(S_{i\,xx} + 1\right)\left(S_{i\,xx} - O_{i\,s}\right)\right]\right\}, \qquad i = 1, 2,$$

$$O_{is}(\hat{r}, \hat{x}) = \frac{2}{3} \left( S_{irr}^2 + S_{ixx}^2 - S_{irr} S_{ixx} \right).$$

$$S_{i\,rr}(\hat{r},\,0) = -\tilde{S}_i(\hat{r}),$$
$$S_{i\,xx}(\hat{r},\,0) = 2\,\tilde{S}_i(\hat{r}).$$



### Mapping: 2D model

ICCHMT 2011, Istanbul, Turkey

Francisco J. Blanco– Rodríguez, J. I. Ramos

Introduction

#### Formulation

Results and discussion

Numerical results of the two-dimensional model

Comparisons with results of one-dimensional model

Conclusions

About the authors...

 $(\hat{r}, \hat{x}) \mapsto (\xi, \eta) \text{ maps } \Omega_{\hat{r}\hat{x}} = \{[\mathcal{R}_1(\hat{x}), \mathcal{R}_2(\hat{x})] \times [0, 1]\} \text{ into a rectangular domain } \Omega_{\xi\eta} = \{[0, 1] \times [0, 1]\}$ 



### Mapping: 2D model

ICCHMT 2011, Istanbul, Turkey

Francisco J. Blanco– Rodríguez, J. I. Ramos

#### Introduction

#### Formulation

Results and discussion

Numerical results of the two-dimensional model

Comparisons with results of one-dimensional model

Conclusions

About the authors...

$$\begin{split} (\hat{r}, \hat{x}) \mapsto (\xi, \eta) \text{ maps } \Omega_{\hat{r}\hat{x}} = \{ [\mathcal{R}_1(\hat{x}), \, \mathcal{R}_2(\hat{x})] \times [0, \, 1] \} \text{ into a rectangular} \\ \text{domain } \Omega_{\xi\eta} = \{ [0, \, 1] \times [0, \, 1] \} \end{split}$$



17 / 27



#### • Two-dimensional energy equation

$$\frac{\partial \hat{T}_1}{\partial \eta} = \frac{1}{2 (V_1 + V_2)} \frac{4}{\bar{P}_1} \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi} \left( \left( \xi + \frac{\mathcal{R}_1^2}{\mathcal{R}_2^2 - \mathcal{R}_1^2} \right) \frac{\partial \hat{T}_1}{\partial \xi} \right) \qquad 0 \le \xi \le \frac{V_1}{V_1 + V_2},$$

$$\begin{split} \hat{T}_{1}(\xi, 0) &= \tilde{T}_{1}(\xi), \\ -\hat{K}_{1} \frac{\partial \hat{T}_{1}}{\partial \xi}(0, \eta) &= 0, \\ \hat{T}_{1} \left( \frac{V_{1}}{V_{1} + V_{2}}, \eta \right) &= \hat{T}_{2} \left( \frac{V_{1}}{V_{1} + V_{2}}, \eta \right) \end{split}$$

$$\frac{\partial \hat{T}_2}{\partial \eta} = \frac{1}{2 \left(V_1 + V_2\right)} \frac{4}{\bar{P}_2} \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi} \left( \left( \xi + \frac{\mathcal{R}_1^2}{\mathcal{R}_2^2 - \mathcal{R}_1^2} \right) \frac{\partial \hat{T}_2}{\partial \xi} \right) \qquad \frac{V_1}{V_1 + V_2} \le \xi \le 1,$$

ICCHMT 2011, Istanbul, Turkey

Francisco J. Blanco– Rodríguez, J. I. Ramos

Introduction

Formulation

Results and discussion

Numerical results of the two-dimensional model

Comparisons with results of one-dimensional model

Conclusions



ICCHMT 2011, Istanbul, Turkey

Francisco J. Blanco– Rodríguez, J. I. Ramos

#### Introduction

#### Formulation

Results and discussion

Numerical results of the two-dimensional model

Comparisons with results of one-dimensional model

Conclusions

About the authors...

#### • Two-dimensional degree of crystallinity equation

$$\mathcal{B} \frac{\partial Y_i}{\partial \eta} = k_{Ai}(0) \exp\left(a_{2i} \mathcal{S}_i^2\right) \left(Y_{\infty,i} - Y_i\right), \qquad i = 1, 2,$$

$$Y_i(\xi, 0) = \tilde{Y}_i(\xi), \qquad i = 1, 2,$$

#### • Effective dynamic viscosity

$$\hat{\mu}_{ei,0}\left(\xi,\,\eta\right) = \hat{G}_i\,\exp\left(\hat{E}_i\left(1-\hat{T}_i\right) + \beta_i\left(\frac{Y_i}{Y_{\infty,i}}\right)^{n_i}\right) + \frac{2}{3}\,\alpha_i\,\hat{\lambda}_i\mathcal{S}_i^2 \qquad i = 1, 2.$$

#### • Cross-sectionally averaged effective dynamic viscosity

$$\begin{aligned} &<\hat{\mu}_{e1,0}>(\eta)=\frac{V_1+V_2}{V_1}\int_0^{\frac{V_1}{V_1+V_2}}\hat{\mu}_{e1,0}(\xi,\eta)\,d\xi,\\ &<\hat{\mu}_{e2,0}>(\eta)=\frac{V_1+V_2}{V_2}\int_{\frac{V_1}{V_1+V_2}}^1\hat{\mu}_{e2,0}(\xi,\eta)\,d\xi. \end{aligned}$$

19/27



ICCHMT 2011, Istanbul, Turkey

Francisco J. Blanco– Rodríguez, J. I. Ramos

#### Introduction

#### Formulation

Results and discussion

Numerical results of the two-dimensional model

Comparisons with results of one-dimensional model

#### Conclusions

About the authors...

• Two-dimensional equations for the molecular orientation tensor components

$$\mathcal{B} \frac{\partial S_{i\,rr}}{\partial \eta} = (1 - S_{i\,rr}) \left(1 + S_{i\,xx}\right) \frac{d\mathcal{B}}{d\eta}$$
$$-\frac{\phi_i}{\lambda_i} \left\{ S_{i\,rr} + \frac{N_i}{3} \left[ \left(S_{i\,rr} - 1\right) \left(S_{i\,rr} - O_{i\,s}\right) \right] \right\}, \qquad i = 1, 2,$$

$$\mathcal{B} \frac{\partial S_{i\,xx}}{\partial \eta} = (1 + S_{i\,xx}) \left(2 - S_{i\,xx}\right) \frac{d\mathcal{B}}{d\eta}$$
$$-\frac{\phi_i}{\lambda_i} \left\{ S_{i\,xx} - \frac{N_i}{3} \left[ \left(S_{i\,xx} + 1\right) \left(S_{i\,xx} - O_{i\,s}\right) \right] \right\}, \qquad i = 1, 2,$$

$$O_{is}(\xi, \eta) = \frac{2}{3} \left( S_{irr}^2 + S_{ixx}^2 - S_{irr} S_{ixx} \right).$$

$$S_{i\,rr}(\xi,\,0) = -\tilde{S}_i(\xi),$$
$$S_{i\,xx}(\xi,\,0) = 2\,\tilde{S}_i(\xi).$$



ICCHMT 2011, Istanbul, Turkey

Francisco J. Blanco– Rodríguez, J. I. Ramos

Introduction

Formulation

### Results and discussion

Numerical results of the two-dimensional model

Comparisons with results of one-dimensional model

Conclusions

About the authors...

# RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □



### Thermal boundary layer



< ≧ ▶ < ≧ ▶ ≧ ∽ Q @ 22 / 27



### Effect of the cladding's viscosity parameters

ICCHMT 2011, Istanbul, Turkey

Francisco J. Blanco– Rodríguez, J. I. Ramos

Introduction

Formulation

Results and discussion

#### Numerical results of the two-dimensional model

Comparisons with results of one-dimensional model

#### Conclusions

About the authors...

 $(- \cdot -)$ 



 $G_2 = 1$  (-),  $G_2 = 0.01$  (- -) and  $G_2 = 100$ 

$$E_2$$
 = 100 (-),  $E_2$  = 50 (- -) and  $E_2$  = 10 (-  $\cdot$  -)



### Effect of the cladding's viscosity parameters



Francisco J. Blanco– Rodríguez, J. I. Ramos

Introduction

Formulation

Results and discussion

#### Numerical results of the two-dimensional model

Comparisons with results of one-dimensional model

#### Conclusions

About the authors...



$$G_2$$
 = 1 (-),  $G_2$  = 0,01 (- -) and  $G_2$  = 100  $(- \cdot -)$ 



 $E_2$  = 100 (-),  $E_2$  = 50 (- -) and  $E_2$  = 10 (-  $\cdot$  -)



### $1\mathrm{D}\ \mathrm{model}\ \mathrm{vs}\ 2\mathrm{D}\ \mathrm{model}$



Numerical results of the two-dimensional model

Comparisons with results of one-dimensional model

Conclusions

About the authors...



1D (-) and 2D  $(-\cdot -)$ 



ICCHMT 2011, Istanbul, Turkey

Francisco J. Blanco– Rodríguez, J. I. Ramos

Introduction

Formulation

Results and discussion

Numerical results of the two-dimensional model

Comparisons with results of one-dimensional model

#### Conclusions

About the authors...

# CONCLUSIONS

・ロ ・ ・ (部 ・ ・ 言 ・ ・ 言 ・ う へ ()
25 / 27



ICCHMT 2011, Istanbul, Turkey

Francisco J. Blanco– Rodríguez, J. I. Ramos

Introduction

Formulation

Results and discussion

Numerical results of the two-dimensional model

Comparisons with results of one-dimensional model

#### Conclusions

About the authors...

### Contributions of the present work:

- Development of a quasi-two-dimensional model for semi-crystalline hollow compound fibers with modified Newtonian rheology.
- 2 1D model (asymptotic analysis for  $\epsilon \ll 1$ ) for  $\mathcal{R}_i$  and  $\mathcal{B}$  and 2D equations for  $\hat{T}_i$ ,  $\mathcal{S}_i$  and  $Y_i$ .
- Otermination of the two-dimensional fields of temperature, order parameter for molecular orientation and degree of crystallinity for hollow compound fibers.
- ④ Integro-differential model highly dependent on  $E_2$  and  $G_2$ .
- Find substantial temperature non-uniformities (may affect the degree of crystallization and have great effects on the mechanical, optical,... properties of hollow compound fibers) in the radial direction.



ICCHMT 2011, Istanbul, Turkey

Francisco J. Blanco– Rodríguez, J. I. Ramos

Introduction

Formulation

Results and discussion

Numerical results of the two-dimensional model

Comparisons with results of one-dimensional model

#### Conclusions

About the authors...

Contributions of the present work:

- Development of a quasi-two-dimensional model for semi-crystalline hollow compound fibers with modified Newtonian rheology.
- 2 1D model (asymptotic analysis for  $\epsilon \ll 1$ ) for  $\mathcal{R}_i$  and  $\mathcal{B}$  and 2D equations for  $\hat{T}_i$ ,  $\mathcal{S}_i$  and  $Y_i$ .
- Otermination of the two-dimensional fields of temperature, order parameter for molecular orientation and degree of crystallinity for hollow compound fibers.
- ④ Integro-differential model highly dependent on  $E_2$  and  $G_2$ .
- Find substantial temperature non-uniformities (may affect the degree of crystallization and have great effects on the mechanical, optical,... properties of hollow compound fibers) in the radial direction.



ICCHMT 2011, Istanbul, Turkey

Francisco J. Blanco– Rodríguez, J. I. Ramos

Introduction

Formulation

Results and discussion

Numerical results of the two-dimensional model

Comparisons with results of one-dimensional model

#### Conclusions

About the authors...

Contributions of the present work:

- Development of a quasi-two-dimensional model for semi-crystalline hollow compound fibers with modified Newtonian rheology.
- 2 1D model (asymptotic analysis for  $\epsilon \ll 1$ ) for  $\mathcal{R}_i$  and  $\mathcal{B}$  and 2D equations for  $\hat{T}_i$ ,  $\mathcal{S}_i$  and  $Y_i$ .
- Oetermination of the two-dimensional fields of temperature, order parameter for molecular orientation and degree of crystallinity for hollow compound fibers.

④ Integro-differential model highly dependent on  $E_2$  and  $G_2$ .

Find substantial temperature non-uniformities (may affect the degree of crystallization and have great effects on the mechanical, optical,... properties of hollow compound fibers) in the radial direction.



ICCHMT 2011, Istanbul, Turkey

Francisco J. Blanco– Rodríguez, J. I. Ramos

Introduction

Formulation

Results and discussion

Numerical results of the two-dimensional model

Comparisons with results of one-dimensional model

#### Conclusions

About the authors...

Contributions of the present work:

- Development of a quasi-two-dimensional model for semi-crystalline hollow compound fibers with modified Newtonian rheology.
- 2 1D model (asymptotic analysis for  $\epsilon \ll 1$ ) for  $\mathcal{R}_i$  and  $\mathcal{B}$  and 2D equations for  $\hat{T}_i$ ,  $\mathcal{S}_i$  and  $Y_i$ .
- Otermination of the two-dimensional fields of temperature, order parameter for molecular orientation and degree of crystallinity for hollow compound fibers.

**④** Integro-differential model highly dependent on  $E_2$  and  $G_2$ .

Find substantial temperature non-uniformities (may affect the degree of crystallization and have great effects on the mechanical, optical,... properties of hollow compound fibers) in the radial direction.



ICCHMT 2011, Istanbul, Turkey

Francisco J. Blanco– Rodríguez, J. I. Ramos

Introduction

Formulation

Results and discussion

Numerical results of the two-dimensional model

Comparisons with results of one-dimensional model

#### Conclusions

About the authors...

Contributions of the present work:

- Development of a quasi-two-dimensional model for semi-crystalline hollow compound fibers with modified Newtonian rheology.
- 2 1D model (asymptotic analysis for  $\epsilon \ll 1$ ) for  $\mathcal{R}_i$  and  $\mathcal{B}$  and 2D equations for  $\hat{T}_i$ ,  $\mathcal{S}_i$  and  $Y_i$ .
- Otermination of the two-dimensional fields of temperature, order parameter for molecular orientation and degree of crystallinity for hollow compound fibers.
- 4 Integro-differential model highly dependent on  $E_2$  and  $G_2$ .
- Find substantial temperature non-uniformities (may affect the degree of crystallization and have great effects on the mechanical, optical,... properties of hollow compound fibers) in the radial direction.



ICCHMT 2011, Istanbul, Turkey

Francisco J. Blanco– Rodríguez, J. I. Ramos

Introduction

Formulation

Results and discussion

Numerical results of the two–dimensional model

Comparisons with results of one-dimensional model

#### Conclusions

About the authors...

Contributions of the present work:

- Development of a quasi-two-dimensional model for semi-crystalline hollow compound fibers with modified Newtonian rheology.
- 2 1D model (asymptotic analysis for  $\epsilon \ll 1$ ) for  $\mathcal{R}_i$  and  $\mathcal{B}$  and 2D equations for  $\hat{T}_i$ ,  $\mathcal{S}_i$  and  $Y_i$ .
- Otermination of the two-dimensional fields of temperature, order parameter for molecular orientation and degree of crystallinity for hollow compound fibers.
- 4 Integro-differential model highly dependent on  $E_2$  and  $G_2$ .
- Find substantial temperature non-uniformities (may affect the degree of crystallization and have great effects on the mechanical, optical,... properties of hollow compound fibers) in the radial direction.



### About the authors...

ICCHMT 2011, Istanbul, Turkey

Francisco J. Blanco– Rodríguez, J. I. Ramos

Introduction

Formulation

Results and discussion

Numerical results of the two-dimensional model

Comparisons with results of one-dimensional model

Conclusions

About the authors...

Francisco J. Blanco-Rodríguez e-mail: fjblanco@lcc.uma.es website: http://www.lcc.uma.es/~fjblanco J. I. Ramos e-mail: jirs@lcc.uma.es

Document created by LATEX (Beamer class).

# THANK YOU FOR YOUR ATTENTION